3.5.2007 Present: Mr. Sanjeev Kuthiala, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Mr. C. B. Singh, Dy. Advocate General for respondents No. 1 & 2.

Mr. N. K. Thakur, Advocate, for respondents No. 3 to 6.

CWP No.1496 / 2002

When the matter was taken up for hearing, the only contention raised was that the petitioners herein had not been heard by the authorities below before the impugned orders were passed.

Perusal of the orders dated 8th December, 1993, 8th March, 1995 as also 27th December, 1999, passed by the authorities below show that not only the notices of the proceedings had been served upon the petitioners, but they were also present and duly represented before the authorities below. This position is clearly borne out from the record and is not disputed by any of the counsel.

In this view of the matter, I see no reason to interfere with the impugned orders passed by the authorities below. The writ petition is accordingly dismissed.

(Sanjay Karol), J.

May 3, 2007.
(rana)